Reframing Female Genital Cosmetic and Aesthetic Surgery: Ethics, Function, and Sexual Health Beyond Appearance

A Reflective Editorial from a Urogynecology Perspective

Mochamad Rizkar Arev Sukarsa, **MD, Obstetrician, Gynecologist, and Urogynecologist**

Division of Urogynecology and Pelvic Floor Reconstruction, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran – Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia.

Correspondence: Mochamad Rizkar Arev Sukarsa Email: morizarev@gmail.com

"The intersection between cosmetic intention and reconstructive responsibility defines the ethical frontier of modern urogynecology."

In recent years, the convergence of aesthetic medicine and urogynecology has reshaped the dialogue on women's health. Procedures once confined to reconstructive domains—repairing pelvic floor disorders, perineal trauma, or postpartum laxity—now coexist with aesthetic motivations emphasizing appearance and self-confidence. This editorial reflects on how female genital cosmetic surgery (FGCS) and female genital aesthetic surgery (FGAS) overlap yet differ ethically, functionally, and philosophically.

Defining FGCS and FGAS

According to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG), FGCS comprises elective surgical procedures that modify the appearance of genitalia without clear medical indication. These interventions originate in the cosmetic surgery paradigm, focusing on visual symmetry and patient satisfaction. In contrast, FGAS has evolved within gynecology and minimally invasive aesthetic medicine, aiming not only at external appearance but also at comfort, tissue quality, and functional harmony. The distinction matters: while FGCS is largely appearance-driven, FGAS aspires toward holistic well-being and sexual confidence. Recognizing these nuances helps physicians maintain ethical boundaries and ensure that patient motivation is grounded in informed understanding rather than social pressure.

Terminology and Professional Culture

Although FGCS and FGAS are sometimes used interchangeably, terminology mirrors the professional culture behind each field. Plastic-surgical literature prioritizes proportion and symmetry, while urogynecology emphasizes function and reconstruction. This duality demands clarity so that functional restoration is not mistaken for purely cosmetic enhancement.

Aspect	Plastic Surgery Perspective (FGCS)	Urogynecology Perspective (FGAS)
Primary goal	Enhance genital appearance and symmetry; functionality framed as aesthetic satisfaction	Restore or preserve pelvic support, continence, and sexual function; aesthetics are secondary

 DOI: 10.24198/obgynia.v8i3.1033

Aspect	Plastic Surgery Perspective (FGCS)	Urogynecology Perspective (FGAS)
Typical procedures	Labiaplasty, clitoral hood reduction, hymenoplasty, "vaginal rejuvenation"	Perineoplasty, reconstructive vaginoplasty, scar revision, labia majora restoration
Philosophy	Cosmetic enhancement guided by patient preference	Functional reconstruction rooted in pelvic floor health
Ethical focus	Autonomy and satisfaction within aesthetic norms	Safety, informed consent, and avoidance of unrealistic expectations
Setting	Predominantly private aesthetic centers	Hospital or academic urogynecology units

Clinical and Psychosexual Outcomes

Recent systematic reviews (2019–2025) report generally positive short-term outcomes in genital self-image and sexual satisfaction, particularly following labiaplasty and perineoplasty. However, these studies are limited by small sample sizes, short follow-up periods, and methodological variability. Reported complications—such as infection, bleeding, scarring, or altered sensitivity—occur in roughly 1–7% of procedures, comparable across cosmetic and functional groups. From a urogynecology standpoint, long-term outcomes should prioritize continence, pelvic support, and sexual comfort rather than aesthetic metrics. The psychosocial dimension—women's self-acceptance, autonomy, and education about normal genital diversity—remains as crucial as the surgical result itself.

Ethical and Sexual-Health Considerations

FGCS and FGAS raise ethical questions about autonomy, commercialization, and medicalization of the female body. Respecting autonomy does not absolve clinicians from ensuring informed consent. Practitioners must provide accurate anatomical education and dispel misconceptions shaped by media or pornography. The decision to proceed with surgery must emerge from self-knowledge, not societal pressure.

Ethical practice in aesthetic gynecology therefore lies in transparency, appropriate counseling, and avoidance of exploitative marketing. Institutional oversight and professional training are essential to maintain credibility and patient safety.

Integrating Aesthetics, Function, and Ethics

Rather than rejecting aesthetic gynecology, the urogynecologic community should guide its responsible evolution. When aesthetic interventions are grounded in functional anatomy, patient education, and ethical prudence, they can coexist with reconstructive principles. Collaboration among plastic surgeons, urogynecologists, and ethicists will be critical to standardize training and ensure evidence-based care.

In Indonesia, where requests for genital rejuvenation are rising, national guidelines must reflect both international standards and local cultural sensitivity. Integrating ethical frameworks into residency and fellowship programs will sustain credibility and patient trust.

Ethical elegance in surgery begins where empathy meets evidence — a reminder that every aesthetic decision in women's health must honor both anatomy and autonomy.

Author's Reflection

As a urogynecologist, I have witnessed the shifting boundaries between reconstruction and aesthetics. True innovation in this field must preserve the essence of healing — balancing form and function while maintaining integrity and empathy. The future of aesthetic gynecology should not merely reshape anatomy but redefine care through compassion and evidence.

This reflection represents the perspective of the Division of Urogynecology and Pelvic Floor Reconstruction, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran – Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia.

References

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Elective Female Genital Cosmetic Surgery. Committee Opinion No. 795. Obstet Gynecol. 2020;135:e36–e42.
2. Hamori CA. Female genital aesthetic surgery: trends and evidence. Aesthetic Surg J. 2023;43(5):NP324–NP330.
3. Veale D et al. Female genital cosmetic surgery: A systematic review of outcomes and ethics. J Clin Med. 2024;13(8):2686.
4. Crouch NS et al. Ethical and psychological aspects of female genital cosmetic surgery. Int Urogynecol J. 2022;33(4):887–895.
5. International Society of Aesthetic Plastic Surgery. ISAPS International Survey on Aesthetic/Cosmetic Procedures 2023. ISAPS; 2024.
6. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Ethical considerations in female genital cosmetic surgery. RCOG Ethics Committee; 2022.