

Tubal Pathology in Infertility

Dian Tjahyadi

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine,
Universitas Padjadjaran – Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung
Correspondence: Dian Tjahyadi, Email: dian.tjahyadi@unpad.ac.id

Infertility is a complex reproductive issue which requires thorough evaluation and targeted intervention. A key cause of female infertility is fallopian tube dysfunction, which plays role in egg transport and fertilization. Structural damage to the tubes, including blockages, adhesions, and deformities, significantly reduces fertility potential. One major cause of tubal disease is sexually transmitted infections, especially *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, which can lead to pelvic inflammatory disease (PID).¹ In regions where *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection is still prevalent, genital tuberculosis is another contributor to tubal disease, causing inflammation and eventual obstruction. Chronic infection promotes scarring, narrowing, and complete obstruction of the fallopian tubes. Additionally, endometriosis can also exacerbate tubal dysfunction by creating adhesions and disrupting normal tube anatomy.

Effective diagnosis of tubal pathology requires a combination of imaging techniques. 1 Transvaginal ultrasound (USG) is the first line of non-invasive tool for detecting hydrosalpinx and structural abnormalities. Hysterosalpingography (HSG) can be used to assesses tubal patency using contrast imaging but has limitations in identifying adhesions outside the tube. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides greater detail, particularly in cases of suspected endometriosis. However, laparoscopy remains the gold standard, offering direct visualization and the ability to perform surgical corrections simultaneously.

The treatment depends on the extent of tubal damage and the patient's reproductive goals. For mild adhesions, adhesiolysis can restore tubal function and improve natural conception chances. Salpingostomy is sometimes used for mild hydrosalpinx to reopen blocked tubes, though recurrence is common. When tubal damage is extensive, salpingectomy is often recommended to remove non-functioning tubes and reduce complications. For women with bilateral tubal damage, in vitro fertilization (IVF) is the best alternative. Before starting IVF, salpingectomy or proximal tubal occlusion improve the IVF outcomes.² Meta-analyses showed that both interventions improve IVF outcomes compared to no intervention. Proximal tubal occlusion appears to provide the highest fertilization rates. A study analyzing eight trials with 716 hydrosalpinx patients found that fertilization rates were higher with proximal occlusion than with salpingectomy, though implantation, pregnancy, and live birth rates were similar between the two procedures.³ Proximal tubal occlusion has several advantages: it is a less invasive, quicker procedure that prevents hydrosalpingeal fluid from flowing back into the uterus while preserving ovarian blood supply. In contrast, salpingectomy involves removing the affected tube entirely, which eliminates the source of toxic fluid but can compromise ovarian blood flow, reduce ovarian reserve, and affect endometrial receptivity. Additionally, salpingectomy carries risks such as injury to nearby organs and may be difficult in cases with severe pelvic adhesions.

Preventing tubal disease is key to reducing infertility cases. Routine screening and early treatment of *Chlamydia trachomatis* should be encouraged, particularly for high-risk individuals. Many chlamydial infections do not cause symptoms, leading to unnoticed damage over time. Widespread screening programs, public health education, and timely treatment access should be integral to reproductive healthcare policies.

Education about sexually transmitted infections is also critical. Raising awareness about safe sex practices, including barrier contraception, and the importance of regular reproductive health check-up can help prevent infections that lead to infertility. Further research is needed to refine surgical interventions and assess their long-term impact on IVF outcomes. While existing evidence suggests that salpingectomy is beneficial, larger studies are required to determine the best treatment approach for different clinical scenarios. A comprehensive, evidence-based strategy is essential to improving infertility treatment related to tubal disease. In conclusion, fallopian tube pathology remains a significant challenge in reproductive medicine. Early detection, advanced diagnostic techniques, and personalized treatment plans are essential for improving fertility outcomes. Preventive measures and informed decision-making should be prioritized to reduce the prevalence of tubal-factor infertility.

References

1. Ambildhuke, K., Pajai, S., Chimegave, A., Mundhada, R. & Kabra, P. A Review of Tubal Factors Affecting Fertility and its Management. *Cureus* **14**, e30990.
2. Tsiami, A. *et al.* Surgical treatment for hydrosalpinx prior to in-vitro fertilization embryo transfer: a network meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* **48**, 434–445 (2016).
3. Ou, H., Ma, X., Sun, J., Lin, L. & Ling, B. Ovarian Response, Pregnancy Outcomes, and Complications Between Salpingectomy and Proximal Tubal Occlusion in Hydrosalpinx Patients Before in vitro Fertilization: A Meta-Analysis. *Front. Surg.* **9**, (2022).